UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,076	10/04/2005	Andrei Terechko	NL 030344	8796
	7590 09/13/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		VICARY, KEITH E		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2183		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		09/13/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/552,076	TERECHKO, ANDR	EΙ	
Examiner	Art Unit		

	KEIIII VIC	PART	2 103	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 September 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE	THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to application, applicant must timely file one of the follow application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with periods:	wing replies: (1) a Appeal (with app	an amendment, affidav peal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the m	nailing date of the f	inal rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the note event, however, will the statutory period for reply experiod for the final form of the final replacement of the final repla	pire later than SIX a) or (b). ONLY CH 06.07(f).	MONTHS from the mailin IECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 NOTICE OF APPEAL	of extension and the shortened state than three m	ne corresponding amount tutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in c	compliance with	37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS				e appeal. Since a
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reject				cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further		and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or 	,	appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33	-	ng number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		ched Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).				_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of f entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces 	d to overcome <u>all</u>	rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explar	nation of the stat	us of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	II (I NOT		re e u	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considere	ed but does NOT	place the application if	n condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	t(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Eddie P Chan/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2183				

Continuation of 13. Other: Examiner first notes that the amendment would require further consideration and/or search. As previously noted in the final rejection mailed 7/7/2010, the Board decision mailed 9/25/2009 states that rejections of claims over prior art should not be based on speculation and assumptions as to the scope of the claims. Consequently, any amendments to the claims which would clarify the scope of the claims (e.g. by overcoming indefinite issues) would require further consideration and/or search in view of the new definite scope of the claims.

However, examiner's preliminary opinion of the amended claims is that the pending indefinite rejection would not be overcome, as the amended claims appear to convey that any given pipeline register is arranged in both said control connections and a dedicated direct signal data signal connection; this is not supported by the original disclosure.

ΚV